

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/749,980	12/27/2000	Elaine Lee	8600-0010	6822
ROBINS AND	7590 09/20/200 PASTERNAK LLP	EXAMINER		
1731 EMBARCADERO ROAD, SUITE 230			PRIDDY, MICHAEL B	
PALO ALTO,	CA 94303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•	H		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/749,980	LEE, ELAINE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael B. Priddy	. 3733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 136(a). In no event, however, may a relative solution will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication (ANDONED) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 F</u> 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Thi  3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matt		is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	1-37 is/are withdrawn from rejected.		
Application Papers		•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to edrawing(s) be held in abeyanction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3733

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7, 8, 11, 19, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schwartz (US Patent Number 5,957,971). Schwartz discloses a vaso-occlusive coil for treating aneurysms having a fibrin/thrombus-stabilizing molecule, e.g. Factor XIII, bioactive coating (see various. embodiments disclosed in figures 1-3).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwartz (US Patent Number 5,957,971) in view of Schwarz et al. (US Patent Number 4,414,976) (cited by examiner on 892, paper number 04222004).

Schwartz discloses the claimed invention except the thrombus-stabilizing molecule being plasminogen activator inhibitor or plasmin inhibitor Schwarz teaches

Art Unit: 3733

that tissue adhesive for use in vascular surgery may be made with Factor XIII, plasminogen activator inhibitor or plasmin inhibitor in order to stimulate wound healing (Column 1 lines 37-44). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Schwartz with the thrombus-stabilizing molecule being plasminogen activator inhibitor or plasmin inhibitor, in view of Schwarz, in order to promote healing.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwartz (US Patent Number 5,957,971) in view of Slaikeu et al. (US Patent Number 6,231,590) (cited by examiner on 892, paper number 16).

Schwartz discloses the claimed invention except for the member being plasmatreated. Slaikeu teaches that devices are plasma treated in order to attract platelets and thrombogenic proteins to the device (Column 4 lines 57-65). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Schwartz, with a plasma treatment, in view of Slaikeu et al., in order to attract platelets and thrombogenic proteins and thus promote healing at the implantation site.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over unpatentable over Schwartz (US Patent Number 5,957,971) in view of Murayama et al. (US Patent Number 5,891,192) (previously cited by examiner).

Schwartz discloses the claimed invention except for the vaso-occlusive member being subjected to ion-implantation. Murayama et al. teach that ion implantation is used

Application/Control Number: 09/749,980

Art Unit: 3733

to alter the surface properties, such as thrombogenicity and endothelial cellular migration and adhesion, of the device (Column 3 lines 21-29). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Schwartz with ion-implantation in view of Murayama et al., in order to alter the thrombogenicity and endothelial cellular migration and adhesion.

Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schwartz (US Patent Number 5,957,971) in view of Nikolchev et al. (US Patent Number 6,526,979) (cited by examiner on 892, paper number 16).

Schwartz discloses the claimed invention except for the vaso-occlusive member being microtextured. Nikolchev discloses that an occlusive member is microtextured in order to promote tissue ingrowth and enhance the occlusion of the vessel (Column 14 lines 9-37). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the device of Schwartz with the microtexturing in view of Nikolchev, in order to enhance tissue ingrowth and occlude the vessel.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 07/02/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has argued that Schwartz '971 is entirely silent as to vaso-occlusive devices but instead teaches a stent. The Examiner maintains that Schwartz teaches a coil member intended to be implanted into the vasculature of a patient and

Application/Control Number: 09/749,980

Art Unit: 3733

capable of vaso-occlusion. The Applicant has failed to structurally distinguish the present invention from that of the prior art device of Schwartz.

With regard the statement of intended use and other functional statements, they do not impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over Schwartz which is capable of being used as claimed if one so desires to do so. *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Furthermore, the law of anticipation does not require that the reference "teach" what the subject patent teaches, but rather it is only necessary that the claims under attack "read on" something in the reference. Kalman v. Kimberly Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 781 (CCPA 1983). Furthermore, the manner in which a device is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

Applicant's remarks concerning the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are reiterations of Applicant's arguments against the Schwartz reference and are believed addressed by the above remarks.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Priddy whose telephone number is 571-272-2243. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/749,980

Art Unit: 3733

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael B. Priddy

September 14, 2007

EDWARD FOREST SUPERVISOR FATENT EXAMINER